

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 30201 260915Z

15

ACTION EB-11

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 RSC-01 TRSE-00

EUR-25 AEC-11 ISO-00 DRC-01 AECE-00 /050 W

----- 078451

P 260845Z NOV 73

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1212

C O N F I D E N T I A L OECD PARIS 30201

EXCON

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: OSCILLOSCOPES: US/NETHERLANDS PROPOSALS FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE EXCEPTION NOTE - IL 1584

REF: A. COCOM DOCS DEF 1584/1 AND /2

B. OECD PARIS 28938

C. STATE 230202

1. USDEL APPRECIATES INSTRUCTIONS REF C, WHICH SHOULD HELP
DISPEL UNCERTAINTIES AIRED IN LAST DISCUSSION.

2. ON ONE POINT WE WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION. IN NUMBERED
PARA 2 OF REF C, IT IS STATED WHAT US AUTHORITIES DO NOT
NECESSARILY AGREE WITH EXAMPLE OF OSCILLOSCOPE/PLUG-INS
COMBINATION OFFERED BY US, "SINCE A 150 MHZ MAINFRAME, 100
MHZ PLUG-IN, 100 MHZ SYSTEM MIGHT QUALIFY IF NO OTHER COMBINATION
OF ELEMENTS PROVIDED THE OVERALL SYSTEM PERFORMANCE." UKDEL
CITED THIS AS AN EXAMPLE OF A REASONABLE COMBINATION THAT
MIGHT BE ELIGIBLE FOR AN TREATMENT. HE THEN WENT ON TO
SAY THAT MAINFRAME OF 500 MHZ COMBINED WITH PLUG-INS OF
100 MHZ COULD NOT BENEFIT FROM PROPOSED AN BECAUSE MAINFRAME
EXCEEDED LEVEL NECESSARY FOR 100 MHZ SYSTEM. (PARA 2, REF B.)
THESE TWO EXAMPLES APPEAR TO BE QUITE CONSISTENT WITH EXPLANATION
SUPPLIED IN REF C. WE ARE NOT CLEAR, THEREFORE, WHY US
AUTHORITIES DO NOT NECESSARILY ACCEPT EXAMPLE WHICH SEEMS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 30201 260915Z

TO ILLUSTRATE THE POINT ADMIRABLE.

3. REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF FOREGOING POINT PRIOR TO NOVEMBER

27.

BROWN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: OSCILLOSCOPES, EXCEPTIONS LIST
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 NOV 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973OECDP30201
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: OECD PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731113/aaaaajkg.tel
Line Count: 65
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. COCOM DOCS DEF 1584/1 AND /2
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22-Aug-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <26-Nov-2001 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: OSCILLOSCOPES: US/NETHERLANDS PROPOSALS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXCEPTION NOTE - IL 1584
TAGS: ESTC, US, NL, COCOM
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005